

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/707,046	11/18/2003	Tim The Nguyen	6357-0301	1045
24936	7590 07/20/2005		EXAMINER	
RALPH D C			MOHANDE	SI, JILA M
2310 E POND SUITE 4	EROSA DR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CAMARILLO	, CA 93010		3728	
			DATE MAIL ED: 07/20/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		,	Thun
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/707,046	NGUYEN, TIM THE	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jila M. Mohandesi	3728	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed /s will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication (D) (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on.
Status			
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 N This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,6,12 and 15</u> is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>8,9 and 11</u> is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>5,7,10,13 and 14</u> is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the l drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sec tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121	(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary		
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11-18-03. 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Application/Control Number: 10/707,046

Art Unit: 3728

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means", "comprised" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes." etc.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 5, 7, 11 and 16 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. It appears that claims 5 and 7 are the exact duplicate of claim 3 and claim 11 appears to be the exact duplicate of claim 9 and claim 16 appears to be the exact duplicate of claim 6, and failing to further limit the subject matter of the previous claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/707,046

Art Unit: 3728

4. Claims 8-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 8, line 1, "An insert for creating a sole insert" is vague and inaccurate. It appears that claim 8 is intended to be a method claim especially since the depended claims 9-11 refer to claim 8 as being the method of claim 8. For the purposes of examination claim 8 is being treated as a method for making an insert.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bar et. al. (5,095,570). Bar '570 discloses a method of making a sole insert which is custom fit to the plantar aspect of a wearer's foot comprising: a bottom shell (bottom layer of housing 12), a formable layer (polyurethane foam 10) comprising a material which will permanently harden upon contact with an accelerant (curing agent); a top cushion (top layer of foam housing 12), the circumference of said top cushion layer connected to said shell so that said formable layer is sealed between said top cushion layer and said shell; where upon injection of a sufficient amount of accelerant into the formable layer and subsequent downward forces exerted upon said top cushion of the insert be a wearer's

Application/Control Number: 10/707,046 Page 4

Art Unit: 3728

8.

foot for a sufficient period of time, said formable layer will conform to the plantar aspect of said wearer's foot and permanently harden. See Figure 2 embodiment.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 9 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bar '570. in view of Grim et al. (6,007,505). Bar '570 as described above discloses all the limitations of the claims except for the specific material of the deformable layer. Grim '505 discloses that it is desirable to impregnate the deformable layer of Urethane with hydroxypropyl methylcellulose (HPMC) which will prevent the urethane from becoming sticky when contacted with water. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add HPMC to the deformable layer of Bar '570 as taught by Grim '505 to prevent the urethane from becoming sticky when contacted with water, furthermore, it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. In re Leshin, 125 **USPQ 416.**

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 1-4, 6, 12 and 15 are allowed. Application/Control Number: 10/707,046 Page 5

Art Unit: 3728

10. Claims 10 and 13-14 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

11. Claims 5, 7 and 16 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the objection, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

- 12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Shown are methods of making a customized sole insert analogous to applicant's instant invention.
- 13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jila M. Mohandesi whose telephone number is (571) 272-4558. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:30-4:00 (EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mickey Yu can be reached on (571) 272-4562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/707,046

Art Unit: 3728

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jila M Mohandesi Primary Examiner Art Unit 3728

E. H.M.

JMM July 18, 2005